

**Ivyland Borough Council
Meeting Minutes
July 10, 2024**

Mayor Tony Judice swore in Mr. Jahangir "Girs" Nasir as Borough Councilperson. Mr. Nasir will complete the remainder of Mr. Christopher Branchide's term.

CALL TO ORDER: 7:00 PM

ATTENDANCE: Chuck Bristow, Sal DiPaolo, Dr. Chis Finello (via Zoom), Bill Linwood, Jahangir Nasir, Matt Piotrowski, Todd Savarese

ABSENT: None

OTHERS PRESENT: Krista DiPaolo, Recorder, Mark Freed, Borough Solicitor, Mayor Tony Judice, Janet Pacchioli, Treasurer, Chris Peterson, Borough Engineer

Establishment of Quorum:

Pledge of Allegiance:

Moment of Silence:

Minutes of 6/12/24:

Mr. Linwood made a motion to approve the minutes. Mr. Bristow seconded the motion. Motion passed 6-0-0.

- **Treasurer's Report:**

Mrs. Pacchioli read the June 12, 2024 Treasurer's report. The balances are as follows as of 6/12/24:

General Fund:	
Balance on Hand:	\$454,996.16
Capital Reserve Fund:	\$575,862.04
Liquid Fuel Fund:	\$92,023.32
Fire Company Account:	\$85,691.34
Total Funds 5/8/24:	\$1,208,570.86

The Treasurer's report was accepted, subject to audit. Budgeted funds for 2024 Capitol Accounts were transferred into them.

- **Bills List:**

Prepaid Bills List Total:	\$42,934.27
Unpaid Bills List Total	\$5,707.80

Mr. Linwood made a motion to pay the bills, Mr. Savarese seconded the motion. Motion passed 6-0-0.

- **Correspondence:**

Mrs. Pacchioli presented the following items:

- a. The Borough received a request for street closure at 96 Chase Avenue for Saturday, July 13, 2024 from 3:00 PM until 10:00 PM. The closure is for a surprise 50th birthday party. Mrs. Pacchioli gave notice to the police.
- b. A resident from Ann's Choice called the Borough office regarding the Navy Housing demolition site. The resident was concerned about the green roll left on site. Mr. Peterson will address the Navy Housing site in today's report.

- **Public Comment:**

- a. Mayor Judice had no public comments via Facebook to report.
- b. David Brekher, 2218 Denbeigh Drive, Jamison, Pa. 18929. Mr. Brekher spoke about the project at 1061 Greeley Avenue. He submitted revised renderings to the Borough which have been delivered to Council members. Mr. Freed expressed concern that the Council authorizes the Solicitor to attend the Zoning Hearing meeting. A discussion ensued. Mr. Savarese asked a question about density and parking but expressed that he is inclined to allow public advertising and let neighbors come out and voice their support or opposition and to ask questions of the developer. Mr. Savarese has no opposition to the application. He would like to see this building used. His position is to let the Zoning Hearing Board approve the application without the Borough taking a position against the application. Mr. DiPaolo agreed with Mr. Savarese and asked Mr. Peterson if the revised plan addresses the storm water concerns. Mr. Freed stated that if the Borough is not sending the solicitor, at the very least, we have an engineering, design and zoning review. Mr. Peterson stated that there is evidence that the storm water does run along the curb line. Mr. Brekher has maintained the curb line but in trying to incorporate a driveway there are serious doubts a second vehicle can enter the parking spaces that are allocated with current plan. It seems there is not enough room to turn around. Mr. Brekher said there are two 10' openings for a 9' driveway. Mr. Peterson stated that it

seems this will not be enough space based on the sketches provided. Mr. Peterson said that people will be blocking the sidewalk which can impact the driveway. Mr. Brekher explained that there will be enough room. Mr. Peterson said the other concern is that they will have vehicles continuously blocking the sidewalk. Mr. Brekher does not agree that the parked cars will be blocking the sidewalk. Mr. Freed said the applicant needs to be aware that there is certain relief they are requesting from the Zoning Board. Regarding the zoning code, this will still need to go through land development which is where a lot of the turning ratio issues may be addressed. Mr. Piotrowski asked if the parking spaces are totally on the property or over the Right of Way. He asked Mr. Berkher if he knows what the Right of Way (ROW) is on that street. Mr. Brekher didn't seem to know. Mr. Piotrowski is concerned that as the current plan stands, the cars will be parked in the ROW. He stated that he is not sure how the Borough allows someone to park in this and counts that as a legal parking spot. Mr. Piotrowski said that the set backs are taken from the ROW. He feels the cars' back ends may be sticking out over the ROW. So you have to subtract a certain number of feet and determine ROW. You cannot park on the right side of the ROW. Mr. Brekher said they can use diagonal spots. Mr. Savarese shared that it is Mr. Brekher's obligation to make sure that all Zoning Relief requests to build this project are requested and received. So as we know that the use is not permitted, one of the components of the application is a use variance. They may need more relief. The Borough is not here to guide or tell, but the concern Mr. Savarese has is that if Mr. Brekher does not have a land use attorney to help complete the application, Mr. Brekher may not know what is required and may not be fully prepared with all of the required variances and other requests when presenting to the Zoning Hearing Board. Mr. Savarese commented that it would be unfortunate if Mr. Brekher submitted his application but needed additional relief that wasn't requested. Mr. Bristow offered that "parking is always a thing." He mentioned larger vehicles, like a Ford F-150 with an extended cab, would probably infringe upon the sidewalk. To avoid ticketing of potential tenants, Mr. Bristow asked Mr. Brekher to be aware of this and to consider. Mr. Bristow offered this to help Mr. Brekher. Mr. Freed stated that the Council wants Mr. Brekher to be fully prepared when presenting the application to the Zoning Hearing Board and avoid repeated applications to the Zoning Hearing Board. Mr. Piotrowski stated that everyone wants to see that building rehabilitated. He feels that issues here are all related to density and that the building is too dense. The Borough doesn't have a rigid historical review board. Mr. Piotrowski said most developers present renderings that

have a consideration of the neighborhood. Mr. Piotrowski very politely stated that the rendering has no consideration of the neighborhood. "It looks like a tenement." Mr. Piotrowski feels it doesn't fit into the character of the neighborhood which he had hoped it would. Mr. Brekher suggested the option of demolishing the building and putting a single family home on the site. Mayor Judice stated that the building is a historical one with conditions regarding demolition. Mr. Piotrowski stated that any demolition plans have to be brought before the Borough. Discussion ensued about the building's date of construction and the historical classification. Mr. Piotrowski suggested Mr. Brekher review the ordinance for specifications for demolishing buildings built before 1939, as, again, this plan would have to be presented to the Borough Council. If a portion of the building is to be demolished for parking, Mr. Piotrowski would not agree with this action. Mr. Brekher said he is not planning to demolish anything. Mr. Piotrowski pointed out that they are, indeed, demolishing part of the building to put in parking. Mr. Brekher said the showroom glass is being removed. Mayor Judice and Mrs. Pacchioli reiterated that the overhand (above the glass) was built before 1939. The plan is to remove part of this roof, Mr. Piotrowski restated that this action is demolition. Mayor Judice suggested that Mr. Peterson and Mr. Freed attend the next Zoning Hearing meeting in order to convey the Borough's position on some of the points discussed. Mr. Peterson is not available for the next meeting but he has prepared a letter which can address the concerns discussed tonight. Mr. DiPaolo made a motion for Mr. Freed to attend the next Zoning Hearing Board meeting. Mr. Piotrowski asked if they are authorizing Mr. Freed to oppose anything. Mr. Freed stated that he will attend to represent the Borough's interests. Mr. DiPaolo made a motion to approve. Mr. Bristow seconded. The motion passed 6-0-0.

- **Code Enforcement/Zoning June Report:**

- a. The Council read the report as submitted. Mr. DiPaolo inquired if there were any comments. No comments were shared. Mr. Linwood made a motion to approve the report. Mr. Bristow seconded the motion. Motion passed 6-0-0.

- **Report of President:**

- a. August 17, 2024 is the Ivyland 5K
- b. Mr. DiPaolo thanked residents, council, Mrs. Pacchioli and the Fire Department for a successful Concert in the Park on June 22, 2024.

A. Engineer:

a. Mr. Peterson presented his report.

- i. Navy Housing Demolition: The balance for contract completion is \$4,042.25. A final payment application has been received from the contractor. They will continue to monitor site conditions and verify a final punch list. There is an expected final change order for additional time on the contract to allow sufficient time for grass growth.
- ii. Repaving: CEC has provided details, to the Borough on July 8, 2024, on their findings which provides a complete map of all asphalt that needs replacement in the Grid and Village. CEC also took a look at the existing stormwater infrastructure. Recently, there was the failure of a pipe on Greeley and Gough Avenues. The pipe was replaced. Most of the remaining pipes are in the same condition. CEC has identified areas to replace the stormwater infrastructure before repaving. Most of the Village replacements are Type C inlet tops. CEC has presented remediation plans in two phases. Phase I: Resurface asphalt in the Village first and complete the stormwater improvements. Currently, the Village has pavements that are approximately 22 years old. The grid is less worn and can wait a bit longer. CEC has provided two options for the Grid in a traditional and a preferred method to resurfacing the asphalt. The Preferred method entails milling off 1 ½ inches of existing asphalt, the “wear surface”, and replacing it with new asphalt. If the Borough is seeking more economical alternatives, there is the tar and chip system. This is similar to the speed bump resurfacing. There are certain downsides to using this method. The service life with the tar and chip option is in the 5-7 year range, whereas the overlay is in the 15 to 20 year range. In summary, Phase I includes resurfacing the Village and the miscellaneous stormwater repairs at an estimated cost of \$238,000 and \$362,400 for asphalt overlay. Phase II: Grid resurfacing with the traditional asphalt overlay at a cost of \$676,400. The chip option is estimated at \$371,400. The budget total with the Preferred option is \$1,276,800. Mr. Peterson opened it up for questions following the presentation of the information. Mr. Bristow thanked Mr. Peterson and CEC for all the work they put into preparing the plans. He asked if there is a wear threshold for the asphalt. Mr. Peterson commented that there are a couple years left in the Village. He stated that if you wait too long, you have allowed the roadway to break up. This would require additional funding to repair. Secondly,

Mr. Bristow asked how the chip and tar work just completed for speed tables would affect the project if we decide to resurface in the Grid. According to CEC's proposal, the Borough now has 7 or 8 years with the newly covered speed humps. Mr. Peterson stated that a new control joint would be created where surfacing will be done. Mr. Bristow asked about the repaving on Ivyland Road. Mr. Peterson said their quote includes that road but Warminster already repaved the area that the Borough would be responsible for. Mr. Piotrowski commented that the Borough needs to look at funding everything. He did recommend that the lower cost option not be considered. Mr. Bristow estimated that the Borough may have to chip and tar three times by the time the traditional overlay would be outlived. According to his math, it's more than half the price for less than double. Mr. DiPaolo asked Mr. Peterson to clarify Phase I as for both the Village and the Grid and what percentage for each neighborhood. Mr. Peterson replied that the breakdown percentage is about 60/40, with the Grid being the larger portion of the project.. Mr. Bristow requested that Mrs. Pacchioli identify which budget to be used for these projects. Mr. DiPaolo asked Mrs. Pacchioli to wait on the response so he could ask Mr. Peterson if the Borough's grant money, which was received for the storm water project, is accounted for in the estimate. Mr. Peterson responded that none of the replacement is accounted for in the stormwater grant project. Mrs. Pacchioli said that the Liquid Fuel fund can be used but it is not enough to cover the cost of the project. The last time this project was done was in 2007. Mr. Nasir asked Mr. Peterson if speed bumps could be added to Valentine Road with the project. If we wanted to add these, Mr. Peterson confirmed an estimated cost of \$7,500 to \$9,000 for each speed hump. Mr. Freed asked about too many speed humps. Mr. DiPaolo said they were added because people speed through the Borough. Mr. Nasir stated that people are speeding on Valentine Road especially coming from Freedom Way. It is usually neighborhood residents who are speeding. The concern is that kids cross that street to get to the playground. Mr. Freed said PennDot will not allow speed humps on state roads. Mayor Judice said that our residents want more speed humps. Mr. Freed stated this causes issues with snow removal. Mayor Judice reported that in the past month he has received requests for speed humps on Chase, Greeley and Wilson. Mrs. Pacchioli commented that she lives on Wilson Avenue and feels the humps don't slow drivers down. Mr. Peterson

shared that PennDot has research about speed humps and he can provide a publication to the Council. Mayor Judice stated that the police do the best they can to stop speeders. Mr. Savarese mentioned advancements with speed calming devices that would include a variety of different roadway traffic controlling devices. He asked if there were any other effective strategies to reduce speeding. Mr. Peterson said there are other techniques. For example, using white line to identify shoulder parking, additional signage, providing islands, or visually narrowing the speedway, etc. This information is included in the PennDot publication as referenced by Mr. Peterson. Mr. DiPaolo asked for the PennDot information. The Village has parking only on one side and a white line may provide the necessary change in speed. Mr. Savarese stated that he does not experience speeding but the other side of the neighborhood is a concern on Freedom Way. He feels there is an issue there that needs the Borough's attention.

- iii. Park Improvement Greenways Grant: The total awarded grant is \$122,880. The demolition costs are no longer eligible for reimbursement under this grant per the Commonwealth Financing Authority (CFA) determination. It has been confirmed that future park improvements are eligible. Council provided Carroll Engineering with a list of proposed park enhancements based on community feedback (please see Mr. Peterson's July 2024 report). CEC has developed sketches for the proposed improvements via two proposed scenarios. Please refer to the proposal which is included in Mr. Peterson's July 2024 report. The Council should determine which option to select and how to approach the existing asphalt areas. CEC will revise the sketches and cost estimates as necessary after Council shares feedback. Mr. Peterson expressed that there is a matter of the existing asphalt which is not up to standard. The issue is that there are parking spaces but not sufficient width for two way traffic. They anticipated two way driving and that the Borough would want parking at that site. It was discussed that there would be an entrance on Jacksonville, Kirk and Eddowes. Due to cost, CEC has determined that three entrances are financially prohibitive. The plan also focuses on repurposing asphalt that is there now. Mr. Peterson presented two options. Option 1: Convert existing parking to a Herringbone style parking to allow the reduction of two way traveling. But there is still the matter of people needing to

turn around. So the plan does include a cul-de-sac. This includes removal of existing asphalt and adding sidewalks on Eddowes and Kirk. The cost of this option is \$153,000. Option 2: This includes no parking and making the park a walkable park only. Removal of all asphalt and add sidewalks aforementioned. The cost would be approximately \$112,000. At this point, Mr. Peterson is looking for input regarding parking. A discussion ensued with comment from Mr. Piotrowski who thinks it should be a walkable park with the exception of handicapped parking. If the plan aims off of Kirk Road and keeps the first area of parking for handicapped and gets rid of the majority of asphalt, a path can be created towards Eddowes. At Eddowes, there can be spaces for handicapped parking in the middle of the block. If it lines up with the end of the playground on the other side of the street and we stripe a crosswalk, it may slow people down. The stripe can be placed between the park and playground for crossing. Mayor Judice shared a question from Dr. Finello regarding a walking path and if it was included in Carroll Engineer's plan. Mr. Peterson reported that it is not included in the plan due to adhering to the grant. Mayor Judice likes the idea of having a walking entrance from Jacksonville and Eddowes into the park. A walking path can be considered. Mr. Savarese feels parking is not needed. Mr. Savarese also pointed out that not including parking decreases the overall project cost. Mr. Savarese supports Option 2. Mr. Linwood asked Mr. Peterson if the cost included the sidewalks (both are around \$44,000). Mr. Peterson confirmed that the sidewalk cost is included in the proposal options. Mayor Judice suggested a council member take a walk around the park and see what it looks like now. This may help members get a better idea of the area and how Carroll Engineer's plans may work in the space. Mr. Savarese stated that there are a variety of vertical structures still in place which he would like removed such as sign posts, abandoned utilities, etc. which should be removed. Mr. Peterson stated these are low ticket items that can be added to the budget. Mr. Piotrowski asked if there is a time limit to spend the money. The money needs to be used by 2027, per the grant. Discussion ensued about whether to include parking in the park. Mr. Piotrowski asked if the Borough is obligated, by state or federal code, to provide handicap parking near or on the park property. Mr. Peterson said as the sidewalk is accessible that a handicapped resident can access the park. Mayor Judice pointed out that there is parking on Eddowes. Mayor Judice shared that we can

put handicapped on Eddowes which may also slow people down. Mr. Peterson mentioned an option of limiting sidewalks. Mr. Piotrowski feels we need a sidewalk on Kirk so people can walk around the loop if we don't have a walking path in the park. Mr. Peterson suggested that an interior loop would be more desirable. Mr. DiPaolo asked how much crushed limestone would cost. Mr. Peterson stated that the cost can be reported back to Council. Mayor Judice asked the question that if the asphalt is torn up, would there be a need for a Kirk Road entrance? If there is access from Eddowes and Jacksonville, that would be enough. Mr. Piotrowski requested a sidewalk entrance from Kirk Road. Mr. DiPaolo agreed that it would be beneficial to have access to Kirk Road from the park. Mayor Judice suggested a sidewalk entrance not a car entrance from Kirk Road. Mr. Piotrowski mentioned the idea of creating a buffer on Jacksonville between the park and the houses, the police would have to drive in there to ensure safety. Mr. Piotrowski suggested that, for security reasons, a buffer is not a good idea.. Mayor Judice agreed it needs to be visible. Mayor Judice stated that if the parking is removed, the police will not be able to drive into the park. Next steps would include moving ahead with the survey. Mr. DiPaolo asked for the cost of the survey. Mr. Peterson stated the ballpark cost will be between \$3,000 and \$5,000. Mr. Savarese made a motion for Carroll Engineering to conduct a survey, Mr. DiPaolo seconded. The motion passed 6-0-0. Mr. Piotrowski asked about the green environmental sock on the property. He inquired if there is access to water on the Navy Housing site to ensure the grass gets watered. Mr. Peterson said this can be addressed in the survey. There is a fire hydrant higher up on Valentine Road. Deputy Fire Chief, Mr. Hoffman stated that the fire hydrant on Valentine is shut off at this time. Mr. Savarese asked about interim steps to clean up the miscellaneous items on the property. Mr. Peterson responded that this can also be addressed via the survey.

- iv. Speed Hump Repairs & Frog Sealing: Buxmont Asphalt has completed the speed hump resurfacing. Payment in the amount of \$16,910.00 was forwarded to the Borough Office.

Solicitor:

- a. The Ivyland Hotel land development plans have been finalized and signed. Mrs. Pacchioli advised that the documents were picked up on Tuesday by the applicant for the recording with recorder of deeds. Once the application is recorded, that piece of the project will be done. Mr. Freed said a permit application was received for building permits. The plans and application were sent to the Building Inspector. Comments were generated regarding some deficiencies. These comments were provided to the applicant. Mr. Piotrowski asked about language from the stipulation agreement in the recording of materials for the porch floor. Mr. Piotrowski inquired that Mr. Tulio is not proceeding with the land development but will just sell the project as is. Mr. Piotrowski asked if the new owner would be able to get a permit for the site work. Is this when the Borough would enforce the porch floor as that floor is in violation of the stipulation agreement. Mr. Freed responded that the code inspection officer would be responsible for finding that latest and previous documentation should be consistent with each other. The Borough was advised that there is an agreement of sale with closing this month. For the site work, they will need a permit and that will be when the porch floor violation can be enforced. Mr. Freed said his understanding is that the material issue was not the issue. The materials will be governed by the plans. The point is that the plans matchup which would be something for the building code official to undertake in their plan review. Mr. Piotrowski disagrees as the documents provided by Mr. Tulio show the material which is in violation of the stipulation agreement. Mr. Freed commented that this will be discussed in the Executive Council session. Mr. DiPaolo agreed.
- b. 1061 Greely Ave: There is a Zoning Hearing Board meeting on July 17, 2024. The relief that they are seeking is to put a multi-family dwelling on site and relief of impervious surface, parking lot on both sides, building setbacks from the street line and minimum open space. This will be discussed in the Executive Council session. Mr. Freed requested that Mr. Peterson review the application. Mr. Freed also requested that the Solicitor and Borough Engineer attend the ZHB meeting on behalf of the Borough. As Mr. Peterson is not available next week, Mr. Freed recommends continuing with the first hearing to meet the deadline and have additional hearings that Mr. Peterson can attend and comment on the zoning application.
- c. 1060 Greely Ave.: On July 9, 2024, the Borough Zoning Officer advised the solicitor that the owner submitted an application to use the property as a place of worship. Places of worship are allowed in this zoning district. There is a specific requirement in the zoning

law that they need at least one off street parking space. Owner will have to demonstrate this before submitting an application for the building permit.

- d. Medical Marijuana Ordinance: Opened Public Hearing to adopt the Borough Zoning Ordinance regarding establishment of regulations for dispensary and processes. Exhibits were presented by Mr. Freed, including, proposed amendment, public notice, advertisement and Bucks County Planning Commission Approval. Councilman Savarese put a lot into shepherding and getting this completed. The concept of amending ordinances to allow for Medical Marijuana dispensaries and growth facilities was not something encouraged, it was more a precaution as it is now legal. As our ordinances did not reflect this, we could be liable. Now that we have a resolution to amend the ordinances we are safeguarded. It satisfies the object we sought to achieve. Mr. Savarese made a motion that we adopt ordinance 2024-1 and that that resolution be added to our E-code 360 so this can be added to our most current list of ordinances. Mr. DiPaolo seconded. Motion passed 6-0-0. Mr. Freed said it's not encouraging use, it is more about assuring that these things are going into the proper places. It provides protections for the community. Mr. DiPaolo closed the hearing.

- **Unfinished Business:**

- a. Nothing to report

- **Mayor:**

- a. Police report: June 2024, 1 physical arrest, 31 traffic citations, 4 written warnings and 2 parking tickets. Court check \$638.37.
- b. Mayor Judice encourages residents, when they have a concern, to please contact the Borough Office or one of the Council members or the Mayor. Mayor Judice found a complaint lodged on social media regarding a light post. This is not the place for residents to alert the Borough if there is an issue. Mayor Judice was able to contact our vendor to fix the light post within 12 hours. Please contact the Borough office for concerns.
- c. Mayor Judice shared that there is a closure on Almshouse Road. Traffic backed up from Bristol coming west all the way to Hatboro Road on the first day of the closure. Mayor Judice will discuss this with Chief Carey in regards to potential back ups in the actual Borough.

- d. Email from the NADC about the Open House on July 20th to invite the Borough to attend. Mayor Judice and Mrs. Judice will attend the Open House. This invite came in the form of an email to all Borough Councilpeople.
- e. Street Signs in the Grid: Many are in abysmal condition. They will need to be replaced. Mayor Judice suggested the Council consider replacing. Mr. Piotrowski offered to get pricing on new signage and present options. Mr. Bristow said that Warminster has their own sign shop. It was discussed that wooden signs may not be the best option as they are not as durable as other materials.

- **Committee Reports:**

- a. *Park and Recreation:*
 - i. Ivyland 5K August 17, 2024
 - ii. Thank you to the Heritage Association for the Concert in the Park on June 22, 2024.
 - iii. The Eagle Scout is still interested in completing the shade tree in the Commons project. Michael Zoog will present the project to the Borough Council at a future meeting, TBD.
 - iv. Mr. Savarese asked if the replacement of the playground equipment was still being considered. Mr. DiPaolo confirmed that this is still being considered.
- b. *Finance:* Dr. Finello attended via Zoom. Mrs. Pacchioli shared that it is time to work on the 2025 budget. Mrs. Pacchioli distributed hard copies of the preliminary plans.
- c. *Public Safety:* Nothing to report this month
- d. *Borough Property:* Regarding the Borough office porch, Mr. Piotrowski contacted a new vendor and is waiting on the quote.
- e. *Streets and Walks:* Mr. Savarese asked about the replacement of the playground equipment. Mr. Savarese thanked Mr. Peterson for his work on the proposal for the paving and park plans. He asked Mrs. Pacchioli when the project loan for the street paving is expected to be complete. Mrs. Pacchioli stated that there are two more years on the life of the loan. The annual payment is approximately 32,500. The original loan amount was just under \$300,000. Mr. Savarese said we need to continue working on the streets including plans and funding. He is happy to be a part of this committee
- c. *Planning Commission:* Nothing to report this month other than submitting for the Marijuana ordinance

- d. *Fire Company*: Ed Hoffman reported that within the last 30 days the Fire Department had 13 calls; 4 for fire, 9 medical with a total of 61 calls YTD. They have handled all 61 and please note that the company is all volunteers.
 - i. James Cantore will attend the next meeting
 - ii. Three members are going through EVOC. To date, there are a total of 9 drivers.
 - iii. Tony's fundraiser on July 17, 2024. The Department gets a percentage of proceeds from food sales. The coupon is in the mailbox in front of the station. The flier must be presented at the time of dining.
 - iv. Tranquility fundraiser on August 8, 2024 from 3:00 pm - 9:00 pm.
 - v. Ivyland 5K in August 17, 2024
 - vi. Strategically planning grants to replace the current engine.
 - vii. Mayor Judice thanked the Fire Company for their participation in the Orchestra in the Park concert on June 22, 2024.
- **Emergency Management:**
 - a. Nothing to report
- **New Business:**
 - a. Nothing to report
- **Personnel:**
 - a. Nothing to report
- **Public comment from Facebook:**
 - a. No comments
- **Adjournment:**
 - a. Mr. DiPaolo made a motion to adjourn, Mr. Linwood seconded. Motion passed 6-0-0.
Meeting adjourned at 8:33 pm.
- **Council Entered into executive session to discuss legal matters at 8:40 pm and ended it at 9:20 pm.**

Respectfully Submitted,

Krista DiPaolo, recorder

Minutes Approved by Borough Council August 14, 2024

As presented.